

COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN BUPIVACAINE VERSUS BUPIVACAINE WITH FENTANYL IN BILATERAL TRANSVERSUS ABDOMINIS PLANE BLOCK FOR POSTOPERATIVE PAIN RELIEF IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING CESAREAN DELIVERIES

By

Mohammed Ahmed Ismail El-Fakharany, Mohammed Mohammed Salah El-Den Shamlol and Saad El-Den Mahmoud Abd El-Rahman El-Khateeb

Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University

Corresponding author: Mohammed Ahmed Ismail El-Fakharany,

E-mail: malfkhrany428@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Cesarean section (CS) is one of the most common surgical procedures in the world. Postoperative pain afflicts both mother and the newborn, especially the first 48 h after birth. Local anesthetics alone provide analgesia for a few hours. Increasing the duration of local anesthetic action is often desirable because it prolongs surgical anesthesia and analgesia. These achieve by adding fentanyl with bupivacaine. Different additives have been used to prolong the duration of blockade and thus improve the quality of anesthesia and postoperative analgesia.

Objective: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of ultrasound transverses abdomen plane block with bupivacaine, and the effects of adding fentanyl to bupivacaine for caesarian section.

Patients and methods: This was a randomized, prospective, double-blind, clinical trial study conducted on 60 patients of American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I or II, scheduled for Cesarean deliveries were enrolled. The study was carried out at Al-Azhar University Hospitals (Al-Husein and Sayed Galal Hospitals), during the period from October 2018 to October 2020. They were divided into two equal groups: Group (A) patients were receiving ultrasound-guided TAP block with 20 ml of bupivacaine 0.25% bilaterally, and Group (B) received ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis plane block with 20 ml of bupivacaine 0.25% and 50 µg of fentanyl bilaterally.

Results: The amount of diclofenac and nalbuphine consumed in 24 hours by both the groups were compared. The consumption of diclofenac and nalbuphine was significantly lower among the bupivacaine-fentanyl group. Baseline mean arterial blood pressure was comparable between the two study groups with no statistically significant difference. After 15, 30 and 45 minutes in postanesthesia care unit. The mean arterial blood pressure was significantly lower in group B, Bupivacaine combined with fentanyl transversus abdominis plane block, compared to the Bupivacaine-only group. In the sub-sequent recordings, there was no statistically significant difference between both groups. Baseline heart rate was comparable between the two study groups with no statistically significant difference. Postoperative pain was evaluated through assessment of the mean visual analog scale score, at postanesthesia care unit, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24 hours postoperatively. The mean visual analog scale scores indicated a significant reduction during recovery time

in B group, at 2 and 6 hours postoperatively. However, no significant difference was observed in the mean of pain intensity between the two groups at other times.

Conclusion: The addition of fentanyl as an adjuvant to bupivacaine in TAP block lowered postoperative pain scores, prolonged the time for first rescue analgesic, and decreased the postoperative nalbuphine consumption.

Keywords: Bupivacaine, Bupivacaine with Fentanyl, Bilateral Transversus Abdominis Plane Block, Caesarian section.

INTRODUCTION

Cesarean section (CS) is one of the most common surgical procedures in the world. Post-operative pain afflicts both mother and the newborn, especially the first 48 h after birth. Pain can be excruciating, disrupting mother/child bonding (*Khan et al., 2016*).

The well-known side effects of the analgesic morphine as nausea, vomiting, itching and sedation, may interfere with the interaction between mother and child, breastfeeding and post-partum experience in a dose-dependent manner. However, a number of alternative strategies have been described in order to reduce morphine consumption post-operatively (*Carney et al., 2010*).

One of these is the transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block, a regional anesthetic technique that can provide sensory and motor block of anterior abdominal wall from T7 to L1 although lacking any visceral effect. It is used for lower abdominal surgery such as CS (*McDonnel et al., 2012*).

The interest in transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block increased in the last years after introduction of ultrasound in anesthetic practice (*El-Malla et al., 2021*).

There are multiple benefits for mother and baby obtained by TAP block as Long and effective analgesia, earlier oral nutrition, earlier mobilization and short

duration of hospital stay (*Moll et al., 2014*).

Researches have focused on prolongation of the analgesia provided by the block (*Metwally et al., 2017*).

Local anesthetics alone provide analgesia for a few hours. Increasing the duration of local anesthetic action is often desirable because it prolongs surgical anaesthesia and analgesia. These achieve by adding fentanyl with bupivacaine. Different additives have been used to prolong the duration of blockade and thus improve the quality of anaesthesia and postoperative analgesia (*McDonnel et al., 2012*).

The aim of the current study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of ultrasound transverses abdomen plane block with bupivacaine and the effects of adding fentanyl to bupivacaine for cesarian section.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This was a randomized, prospective, double-blind, clinical trial study conducted on 60 patients of American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I or II, scheduled for Caesarean Deliveries were enrolled. The study was carried out at Al-Azhar University Hospitals (Al-Husein and Sayed Galal Hospitals) during the period from October 2018 to October 2020.

Patients were divided into two equal groups: **Group (A)** received ultrasound guided TAP block with 20 ml of bupivacaine 0.25% bilaterally, and **Group (B)** received ultrasound guided TAP block with 20 ml of bupivacaine 0.25% and 50 µg of fentanyl bilaterally.

Inclusion Criteria: ASA I to II patients, aged between 21 and 45 years.

Exclusion Criteria: Patient refusal, known Allergy to local anesthetics, body mass index >35 kg m², history of chronic use of opioids, emergency CS, coagulopathy, infection at puncture site, and physical status ASA III or more.

Sample size: Using STATA program, setting alpha error at 5% and power at 80% according to previous study (Kandi and Kandi., 2015) evaluating the bupivacaine versus bupivacaine with Fentanyl In bilateral transversus abdominis plane block for postoperative pain relief in patients undergoing cesarean deliveries.

Ethical considerations:

The study was performed after ethical committee approval and obtaining informed consents from the patients. The study protocol was explained to the patients after taking their consent to the type of anesthesia and surgical procedure.

All patients were subjected to:

1. Monitor for vital signs: Electrocardiograph (ECG), noninvasive blood pressure (NIBP), oxygen saturation (SpO₂).
2. Ultrasound machine (sonosite M turbo).
3. Spinal anesthesia needle (25G).

4. Bupivacaine 0.5% - Lidocaine 2%.
5. Resuscitation equipment and drugs.

The pre-anesthetic assessment was performed with history, clinical examination and investigations. Furthermore, after insertion of venous access, all patients received 0.01 mg/kg atropine as a premedication, 1 mg of metoclopramide, and 20 mg of famotidine was administered intravenously (IV) before the operation. 20 mL/kg of Ringer's lactate solution was infused for 15 minutes as a preload. Preoperative monitoring and baseline readings of mean arterial blood pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR) and oxygen saturation (PO) was recorded.

All the study participants who received spinal anesthesia had a standard spinal anesthetic consisting of 10-12 mg of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine. The patients then moved immediately to the supine position with a 15° head elevation. After confirmation of a sufficient level of anesthesia, abdominal surgery was performed with continuous hemodynamic monitoring of blood pressure and heart rate. If the systolic blood pressure decreased to 20% below the baseline or less than 90 mmHg, 6 mg of ephedrine was injected IV. Moreover, if the heart rate reduced to 50 bpm or less, 0.6 mg of atropine was injected IV.

After completion of surgery, fascial plane block was applied to reduce and control pain following the surgery. At the end of surgery, linear US probe (high frequency probe 10–12 MHz) connected to a portable US unit (SonoSite, USA) was placed in the mid-axillary plane midway between the lower costal margin and the highest point of iliac crest with the

patient in supine position. After skin disinfection, a 23-G 50-mm needle with an injection line was inserted in plane with the probe. Once the tip of the needle was placed in the space between the internal oblique abdominal muscle and transversus abdominis muscle and, after negative aspiration, 5 ml saline (0.9%) was injected to distend the transversus abdominis plane, then 20 ml of bupivacaine (0.25%) was injected, and the same procedure was done on the other side.

Group B: received spinal anesthesia according to the same protocol as in group A and US guided Transversus Abdominis Plane block (TAP) block bilaterally after the end of surgery with 20 ml of bupivacaine 0.25% and 50 µg of fentanyl.

The following parameters were assessed and recorded:

1. Hemodynamic monitoring: Mean arterial blood pressure and heart rate were recorded every 15 minutes for the first postoperative hour then at 2, 4, 6, 12 and 24 hours postoperatively.
2. Respiratory monitoring: Peripheral oxygen saturation (SPO₂) was recorded every 15 minutes for the first hour postoperative then at 2, 4, 6, 12 and 24 hours postoperatively.
3. Assessment for post-operative pain using visual analogue scale score every 15 minutes for the first postoperative hour then at 2, 4, 6, 12 and 24 hours postoperatively.
4. Analgesic requirements (Rescue analgesic): The time to first rescue analgesic Nalbuphine (0.1 mg/kg) was recorded in both groups VAS reached 4 and the total consumption of analgesia in first 24 hours postoperatively was recorded in both groups.
5. Post operative nausea and vomiting: The incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting was assessed in both groups in first 24 hours, and average consumption of rescue antiemetic doses of Granisetron (1mg) was recorded in both groups.
6. The time to hospital discharge was recorded.

Statistical analysis:

The collected data was organized, tabulated, and statistically analyzed using SPSS software statistical computer package (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Numerical variables, such as age and body weight, was normally distributed and was summarized as the mean \pm standard deviation. An independent t-test was used to compare the mean values in the two groups. Other variables which were not normally distributed and are presented as the median and interquartile range (IQR). Mann–Whitney U-test was used as a test of significance. Qualitative data was presented as the number and percentage, and Chi-square test was used to determine significance. P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Sixty patients were recruited in the study, of these, thirty were randomized to undergo TAP block with 20 ml of 0.25% bupivacaine bilaterally + 2 ml normal saline and the remaining thirty patients with 20 ml of 0.25% bupivacaine + 2 ml

of 50 µg fentanyl bilaterally. Regarding demographic data, there was no statistically significant difference between group B (Bupivacaine) and group BF (Bupivacaine-fentanyl) (**Table 1**).

Table (1): Comparison between group A (TAP block) and group B (TAP block) according to demographic data

Groups	Group A TAP block (n=30)	Group B TAP block (n=30)	p-value
Demographic data			
Age (years) Mean±SD	29.97±6.45	29.10±6.58	0.608
Body Weight (kg) Mean±SD	59.70±6.83	60.33±5.97	0.704
ASA			
I	26 (86.7%)	25 (83.3%)	0.718
II	4 (13.3%)	5 (16.7%)	
Duration of surgery (min) Mean±SD	46.37±9.25	48.13±8.70	0.449

Data were expressed as Mean ± standard deviation, number (%)

T-Independent Sample t-test; #x2: Chi-square test

Baseline mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) was comparable between the two study groups with no statistically significant difference. After 15, 30 and 45 minutes in PACU, the MAP was significantly lower in group B, Bupivacaine combined with fentanyl TAP block, compared to the Bupivacaine-only group. In the sub-sequent recordings, there was no statistically significant difference between both groups.

Baseline heart rate was comparable between the two studied groups with no statistically significant difference. In the subsequent recordings, there was no statistically significant difference between both groups.

There was no statistically significant difference between both groups regarding oxygen saturation.

Postoperative pain was evaluated through assessment of the mean VAS

score, at PACU, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24 hours postoperatively. The mean VAS scores indicated a significant reduction during recovery time in the BF group, at 2 and 6 hours postoperatively. However, no significant difference was observed in the mean of pain intensity between the two groups at other times ($P>0.05$).

The incidence of nausea and vomiting was compared among the two groups. The difference was not statistically significant.

The amount of diclofenac and nalbuphine consumed in 24 hours by both the groups were compared. The consumption of diclofenac and nalbuphine was significantly lower among the bupivacaine-fentanyl group.

There was no significant statistical difference between both groups as regard the hospital stay (days) (**Table 2**).

Table (2): Comparison between group A (TAP block) and group B (TAP block) according to Mean arterial blood pressure, heart rate, Spo2%, VAS score, postoperative nausea and vomiting, analgesic requirements and hospital Stay

Groups	Group A TAP block (n=30)	Group B TAP block (n=30)	p-value
Time of assessment			
Mean arterial blood pressure:			
Baseline (At PACU)	77.90±11.76	79.91±12.25	0.505
After 15 min.	74.81±10.98	68.88±9.51	0.0291
After 30 min	72.37±9.52	65.87±8.52	0.008
After 45 min	69.53±8.44	62.94±7.52	0.002
After 60 min	65.87±7.93	62.87±9.33	0.184
After 2 hrs	66.55±8.52	63.86±7.67	0.203
After 4 hrs	67.65±9.14	64.88±8.77	0.236
After 6 hrs	70.87±10.43	68.32±7.92	0.291
After 12 hrs	69.88±9.43	67.98±11.75	0.492
Postoperative heart rate:			
Baseline (At PACU)	80.50±6.33	81.39±5.44	0.561
After 15 min.	76.36±5.24	75.33±4.55	0.419
After 30 min.	73.34±4.54	72.62±6.56	0.623
After 45 min.	75.09±3.28	75.83±4.55	0.4728
After 60 min.	77.09±6.28	76.74±7.25	0.842
After 2 hrs.	78.43±5.73	76.83±6.55	0.318
After 4 hrs	78.70±6.49	75.85±7.44	0.119
After 6 hrs	77.74±6.36	76.70±8.38	0.590
After 12 hrs	76.70±2.40	77.70±3.57	0.2080
Spo2%:			
Baseline (At PACU)	98.80±0.41	98.67±0.48	0.250
After 15min	98.67±0.48	98.70±0.47	0.786
After 30min	99.87±0.35	99.90±0.31	0.694
After 45 min	99.97±0.18	99.93±0.25	0.561
After 60 min	99.90±0.31	99.87±0.35	0.694
After 2 hrs	99.90±0.31	99.90±0.31	1.000
After 4 hrs	99.90±0.31	99.90±0.31	1.000
After 6 hrs	99.90±0.31	99.90±0.31	1.000
After 12 hrs	99.90±0.31	99.90±0.31	1.000
VAS score:			
Baseline (At PACU)	0.6 (0.37-0.83)*	0.51 (0.18-0.83)*	0.250
After 30 min	0.91 (0.58-1.23)*	0.82 (0.43-1.2)*	0.786
After 60 min	2.3 (1.8-2.8)*	1.52 (0.9-2.1)*	0.694
After 2 hrs	4.7 (3.6-5.7)*	1.4 (0.6-2.2)*	0.004
After 4 hrs	2.5 (1.7-3.3)*	1.41 (0.19-2.6)*	0.811
After 6 hrs	5.8 (4.6-7)*	4.2 (3.1-5.3)*	0.013
After 8 hrs	2.5 (0.9-3.1)*	2.3 (1.7-2.9)*	1.000
After 12 hrs	2.6 (1.8-3.3)*	1.1 (0.7-2.9)*	0.997
After 24 hrs	3.5 (2.4-4.6)*	1.2 (0.9-3.4)*	0.312

Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV):			
No	(73.3) 22	(70.0)21	0.250
Yes	(26.7) 8	(30.0) 9	0.786
Total analgesic requirements in 24 hours:			
Diclofenac	2.55 (1.9-3.2)	3.36 (2.5-4.2)	0.023
Nalbuphine	9.6±1.7	7.4±1.3	<0.001
Hospital Stay (days):			
Median (IQR)	1.88 (1.4-2.4)	2.08 (1.6-2.5)	0.284

* Data was presented as median (IQR)

* Analysis was done by Mann–Whitney U test

DISCUSSION

Pain is ranked highest among undesirable clinical outcomes associated with caesarean section (CS) (*Kerai et al., 2017*). Adequate post-operative analgesia in the obstetric patients is crucial as they have different surgical recovery needs which include breastfeeding and care of the newborn; these can be impaired if analgesia is unsatisfactory. The ideal post-CS analgesic regime should be efficacious without impacting the ability of mother to take care of the neonate and with minimal drug transfer through breast milk. Indeed, multiple analgesic modalities have been introduced to alleviate pain after cesarean delivery, including the TAP block.

The TAP block is commonly used during a cesarean delivery, in which it forms part of a multimodal postoperative analgesic regimen (*Kanazi et al., 2010*) (*Abdallah et al., 2012*) (*Ismail et al., 2012*) (*McDonnell et al., 2012*).

Numerous analgesic adjuncts have been added to LAs in various peripheral nerve blocks in order to improve the analgesic duration (*Ammar and Mahmoud, 2012*); however, a conflict has been evoked, regarding the analgesic efficacy of adding opioid as fentanyl to bupivacaine in TAP block. The present study aimed to investigate whether the addition of fentanyl to the transversus

abdominis plane (TAP) block procedure may improve the analgesic duration and requirements following cesarean delivery.

The present study demonstrated that the addition of 50 µg fentanyl to bupivacaine was able to improve the TAP block analgesia following cesarean delivery, as assessed by the duration of analgesia, VAS pain scores and post-surgery analgesic requirements. The mean VAS score was significantly lower among the bupivacaine-fentanyl group, compared the the bupivacaine-only group at 2 and 6 hours postoperatively (1.4 Vs 4.7 and 4.2 Vs 5.8, $P < 0.05$) respectively. No statistically significant difference was noticed at the other time points. In addition, the consumption of nalbuphine and declofenac was significantly lower among the bupivacaine-fentanyl group. The duration of analgesia and time to first rescue analgesia were significantly longer in the bupivacaine-fentanyl group.

Indeed, the early analgesic-sparing effect associated with perineural fentanyl may have been mediated by systemic effects due to peripheral uptake. In addition, the late analgesic-sparing effect associated with perineural fentanyl can be attributed to the local anaesthetic LA-like action of opioids, including fentanyl, when administered perineurally (*Hashim et al., 2019*). Fentanyl acts both

presynaptically and postsynaptically to produce an analgesic effect. Presynaptically, opioids block calcium channels on nociceptive afferent nerves to inhibit the release of neurotransmitters such as substance P and glutamate, which contribute to nociception. Postsynaptically, opioids open potassium channels, which hyperpolarize cell membranes, increasing the required action potential to generate nociceptive transmission. The mu, kappa, and delta-opioid receptors mediate analgesia spinally and supraspinally (Cohen *et al.*, 2021).

Previous studies have reported improved analgesia following the addition of fentanyl to LAs in various nerve blocks, including the axillary brachial plexus (Akhondzadeh *et al.*, 2019), cervical plexus, infraorbital nerve (Rajan *et al.*, 2021), paravertebral analgesia (Bakeer *et al.*, 2017) and caudal block (Tewari and Singh, 2020). Moreover, Khezri *et al.* (2016) and Weigl *et al.* (2016) reported that addition of intrathecal fentanyl to spinal anesthesia is effective for intraoperative analgesia and decreases opioid consumption after cesarean section. Supportingly, Kumar *et al.* (2016) found that low-dose bupivacaine with fentanyl is superior to bupivacaine and butorphanol in terms of early PO recovery resulting in early discharge and better outcome of elderly patients with comorbidity. Also, Singh *et al.* (2016) found fentanyl as an adjuvant to intrathecal ropivacaine prolongs PO pain relief without increasing duration of motor blockade. Karakaya *et al.* (2010) found that the addition of fentanyl to bupivacaine in brachial plexus axillary block approach enhanced and prolonged anesthesia and analgesia,

increased duration of sensory and motor block and increased the duration of postoperative analgesia.

Conversely, other studies (Fanelli *et al.*, 2010) (Johansson *et al.*, 2013) have been unable to detect improved analgesia following co-administration of LAs and fentanyl. (Murphy *et al.*, 2010) reported that opioids had limited benefits as analgesic adjuncts to the brachial plexus block, whereas they were shown to exert a definite but mild analgesia following intraarticular injection in another study.

Regarding the hemodynamic effects, both groups had stable hemodynamic parameters with no occurrence of hypertension or tachycardia that required medications, but the TAP fentanyl group showed a significant decrease in the MAP for prolonged time postoperatively in comparison to the bupivacaine-only group. Heart rate was lower in the fentanyl group but no significant difference was reported. This can be postulated by the extended analgesic effect of fentanyl, reducing pain intensity, which stimulates the sympathetic system and causes increase in HR and MAP of the patient.

In a study conducted by Tsuchiya *et al.*, 2012 hemodynamic stability is reported with the use of TAP block even in high-risk patients with American Society of Anesthesiologists score III with cardiovascular disease. Conversely, the study conducted by Metwally *et al.*, 2017 reported no reduction in the MAP as a result to the addition of fentanyl to bupivacaine in TAP block.

Regarding PONV, there was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of postoperative nausea and

vomiting in both groups. Supportingly, the study conducted by *Wang et al., 2015* showed that addition of fentanyl to bupivacaine in TAP block for elective cesarean delivery was not associated with increased incidence of side effects, including PONV. In a study conducted by *Sindjelic et al., 2010* they reported that the addition of fentanyl to local anaesthetic in cervical plexus block was associated with better quality and duration of analgesia without significant adverse effects reported. Our results were also in agreement with *Carney et al., 2010* who compared the analgesic efficacy of ipsilateral TAP block after appendectomy in children versus placebo. They did their study on 40 child undergoing appendectomy randomized into two groups who underwent TAP block versus placebo in addition to PCA morphine. They found that there was no significant difference in the incidence of nausea or distribution of nausea scores between the two studied groups at any time interval.

A limitation of the present study was that the extent of abdominal wall sensory block following the TAP block was not assessed, due to the residual sensory block from spinal anesthesia in the early postoperative period; thus, the failed blocks were not excluded. Another limitation to our study was the limited sample size in each group. Further investigations are needed on wider population, in order to concur our results, to confirm their safety, and to support the absence of systemic complications. A large individual variation in post cesarean delivery analgesia was noted, which may limit the conclusion of the study.

CONCLUSION

The addition of fentanyl as an adjuvant to bupivacaine in TAP block lowers postoperative pain scores, prolongs the time for first rescue analgesic, and decreases the postoperative nalbuphine consumption. Future studies should endeavour to investigate other measures, including the application of the continuous catheter-based technique, that may prolong the postoperative analgesic duration of the TAP block.

REFERENCES

1. **Abdallah FW, Halpern SH and Margarido CB. (2012):** Transversus abdominis plane block for postoperative analgesia after caesarean delivery performed under spinal anaesthesia? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth.*, 109:679–687.
2. **Akhondzadeh R, Rashidi M, Gousheh M, Olapour A and Tasbihi B. (2019):** Comparison of the Ketamine-Lidocaine and Fentanyl-Lidocaine in Postoperative Analgesia in Axillary Block in Upper Limb Fractures By Ultrasound Guidance. *Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine*, 9(6): 143-149.
3. **Ammar AS and Mahmoud KM. (2012):** Effect of adding dexamethasone to bupivacaine on transversus abdominis plane block for abdominal hysterectomy: A prospective randomized controlled trial. *Saudi J Anaesth.*, 6:229–233.
4. **Bakeer AH and Abdallah NM. (2017):** Transdermal fentanyl as an adjuvant to paravertebral block for pain control after breast cancer surgery: A randomized, double-blind controlled trial. *Saudi Journal of Anaesthesia.*, 11(4):384-389.
5. **Bollag L, Richebe P, Siaulys M, Ortner CM, Gofeld M and Landau R. (2012):** Effect of transversus abdominis plane block with and without clonidine on post-cesarean delivery wound hyperalgesia and pain. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.*, 37:508–514.

6. **Carney J, Finnerty O, Rauf J, Curley G, McDonnell JG and Laffey JG. (2010):** Ipsilateral transversus abdominis plane block provides effective analgesia after appendectomy in children: a randomized controlled trial. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 111(4):998-1003.
7. **Cohen B, Ruth LJ and Preuss CV. (2021):** Opioid Analgesics. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing, 21: 85-92.
8. **El-Malla, I. A. H., Allah, A., and Ismail Fadl-Allah El-far, B. (2021):** comparative study between epidural analgesia and bilateral transversus abdominis plane block for postoperative pain relief in patients undergoing cesarean deliveries. *Al-Azhar medical journal*, 50(3): 2261-2270.
9. **Fanelli G, Casati A, Magistris L, Berti M, Albertin A, Scarioni M and Torri G. (2010):** Fentanyl does not improve the nerve block characteristics of axillary brachial plexus anaesthesia performed with ropivacaine. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.*, 45:590–594.
10. **Hashim RM and Hassan RM. (2019):** The efficacy of adjuvants to bupivacaine in ultrasound-guided supraclavicular block: a comparative study between dexmedetomidine, ketamine, and fentanyl. *Ain-Shams Journal of Anesthesiology*, 11(1):1-8.
11. **Ismail S, Shahzad K and Shafiq F. (2012):** Response to the letter for the article – Observational study to assess the effectiveness of postoperative pain management of patients undergoing elective caesarean section. *J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol.*, 28:410–1.
12. **Johansson A, Kornfält J, Nordin L, Svensson L, Ingvar C and Lundberg J. (2013):** Wound infiltration with ropivacaine and fentanyl: Effects on postoperative pain and PONV after breast surgery. *J Clin Anesth.*, 15:113–118.
13. **Kanazi GE, Aouad MT, Abdallah FW, Khatib MI, Adham AM, Harfoush DW and Siddik-Sayyid SM. (2010):** The analgesic efficacy of subarachnoid morphine in comparison with ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis plane block after cesarean delivery: A randomized controlled trial. *Anesth Analg.*, 111:475–481.
14. **Kandi, V. and Kandi, S. (2015):** Antimicrobial properties of nanomolecules: potential candidates as antibiotics in the era of multi-drug resistance. *Epidemiology and health*, 37.
15. **Karakaya D, Büyükgöz F, Barş S, Güldoğuş F and Tür A. (2010):** Addition of fentanyl to bupivacaine prolongs anesthesia and analgesia in axillary brachial plexus block. *Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine*, 26(5):434-8.
16. **Kerai S, Saxena KN and Taneja B. (2017):** Post-caesarean analgesia: What is new?. *Indian Journal of Anaesthesia.*, 61(3):200-226.
17. **Khan A, Kim A, Sanossian C and Francois F. (2016):** Impact of obesity treatment on gastroesophageal reflux disease. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, 22(4): 1627-33.
18. **Khezri MB, Rezaei M, Reihany MD and Javadi EH. (2014):** Comparison of postoperative analgesic effect of intrathecal clonidine and fentanyl added to bupivacaine in patients undergoing cesarean section: a prospective randomized double-blind study. *Pain Research and Treatment*, 14: 223-229.
19. **Kumar A, Kumar R, Verma VK, Prasad C, Kumar R, Kant S, Kumar G, Singh N and Kumari R. (2016):** A randomized controlled study between fentanyl and Butorphanol with low dose intrathecal bupivacaine to facilitate early postoperative ambulation in urological procedures. *Anesthesia, Essays and Researches*, 10(3):508-511.
20. **McDonnell JG, Curley G, Carney J, Benton A, Costello J, Maharaj CH and Laffey JG. (2012):** The analgesic efficacy of transversus abdominis plane block after cesarean delivery: A randomized controlled trial. *Anesth Analg.*, 106:186–191.
21. **Metwally AA, Abo-El-Enin KM, Abd Allah SI, Soliman NM and Abo-Omar WA. (2017):** Ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis plane block for lower abdominal surgeries: bupivacaine alone or combined with fentanyl or epinephrine. *Menoufia Medical Journal*, 30(2):538-43.

22. **Moll X, García F, Ferrer RI, Santos L, Aguilar A and Andaluz A. (2014):** Distribution of methylene blue after injection into the epidural space of anaesthetized pregnant and non-pregnant sheep. *PloS One*, 9(4): 330-336.
23. **Murphy DB, McCartney CJ and Chan VW. (2010):** Novel analgesic adjuncts for brachial plexus block: a systematic review. *Anesthesia & Analgesia.*, 90(5):1122-8.
24. **Rajan S, Mathew J and Kumar L. (2021):** Effect of bilateral infraorbital nerve block on intraoperative anesthetic requirements, hemodynamics, glycemic levels, and extubation in infants undergoing cheiloplasty under general anesthesia. *Journal of Dental Anesthesia and Pain Medicine*, 21(2):129-136.
25. **Sindjelic RP, Vljakovic GP, Davidovic LB, Markovic DZ and Markovic MD. (2010):** The addition of fentanyl to local anesthetics affects the quality and duration of cervical plexus block: a randomized, controlled trial. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 111(1):234-7.
26. **Singh AP, Kaur R, Gupta R and Kumari A. (2016):** Intrathecal buprenorphine versus fentanyl as adjuvant to 0.75% ropivacaine in lower limb surgeries. *Journal of Anaesthesiology, Clinical Pharmacology*, 32(2):229-233.
27. **Stein C and Lang LJ. (2011):** Peripheral mechanisms of opioid analgesia. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.*, 9:3–8.
28. **Tewari A and Singh AK. (2020):** Comparative evaluation of caudal tramadol and fentanyl when mixed with bupivacaine in paediatric age group. *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 8(4):1445-1452.
29. **Tsuchiya M, Takahashi R, Furukawa A, Suehiro K, Mizutani K and Nishikawa K. (2012):** Transversus abdominis plane block in combination with general anesthesia provides better intraoperative hemodynamic control and quicker recovery than general anesthesia alone in high-risk abdominal surgery patients. *Minerva Anesthesiol.*, 78:1241–1247.
30. **Wang XX, Zhou Q, Pan DB, Deng HW, Zhou AG, Huang FR and Guo HJ. (2015):** Dexamethasone versus ondansetron in the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Anesthesiology*, 15(1):1-9.
31. **Weigl W, Bierylo A, Wielgus M, Krzemień-Wiczyńska S, Szymusik I, Kolacz M and Dabrowski MJ. (2016):** Analgesic efficacy of intrathecal fentanyl during the period of highest analgesic demand after cesarean section: A randomized controlled study. *Medicine*, 95(24): 122-127.

دراسة مقارنة بين بوبيفاكين مقابل بوبيفاكين مع فنتانيل في اغلاق مستوي عضله البطن المستعرضه علي الجانبين في تسكين الالام مابعد الولادة القيصرية

محمد أحمد إسماعيل الفخراي، محمد محمد صلاح الدين شملول،

سعد الدين محمود عبد الرحمن الخطيب

قسم التخدير والرعاية المركزة، كلية الطب، جامعة الأزهر

E-mail: malfkhrany428@gmail.com

خلفية البحث: العملية القيصرية هي واحدة من أكثر العمليات الجراحية شيوعاً في العالم. يصيب ألم ما بعد الجراحة كل من الأم والمولود، خاصة في أول 48 ساعة بعد الولادة. ويوفر التخدير الموضعي وحده تسكيناً لبضع ساعات، وغالباً ما يكون زيادة مدة عمل التخدير الموضعي أمراً مرغوباً لأنه يطيل التخدير الجراحي والتسكين. ويتم تحقيق ذلك عن طريق إضافة الفنتانيل مع البوفيفاسين، ثم استخدام إضافات مختلفة لإطالة مدة الحصار، وبالتالي تحسين جودة التخدير والتسكين بعد الجراحة.

الهدف من البحث: تقييم فعالية وسلامة إغلاق مستوي عضلة البطن المستعرضة علي الجانبين بالموجات فوق الصوتية مع البيوبيفاكين وتأثيرات إضافة الفنتانيل إلى البيوبيفاكين لتسكين آلام ما بعد العمليات القيصرية.

المرضى وطرق البحث: تم تسجيل هذه الدراسة السريرية العشوائية، المرتقبة، مزدوجة التعمية، على 60 مريضاً من الحالة الجسدية للجمعية الأمريكية لأطباء التخدير، المقرر إجراؤها للولادة القيصرية. أجريت الدراسة في مستشفيات جامعة الأزهر (مستشفى الحسين وسيد جلال)، الفترة من أكتوبر 2018 إلى أكتوبر 2020. تم تقسيمهم إلى مجموعتين متساويتين: مجموعة (أ) يتلقون العلاج بالموجات فوق الصوتية باستخدام جهاز ضغط الدم باستخدام الموجات فوق الصوتية مع 20 مل. من بوبيفاكين 0.25% على المستوى الثاني، ومجموعة (ب) يتلقون كتلة عضلة البطن المستعرضة الموجهة بالموجات فوق الصوتية مع

20 مل من بوبيفاكين 0.25% و 50 ميكروغرام من الفنتانيل على المستوى الثنائي.

نتائج البحث: كان استهلاك ديكلوفيناك ونالبوفين أقل بشكل ملحوظ بين مجموعة بوبيفاكين – فنتانيل، وكان متوسط خط الأساس لضغط الدم الشرياني قابلاً للمقارنة بين مجموعتي الدراسة مع عدم وجود فرق معتد به إحصائياً. وبعد 15 و 30 و 45 دقيقة في وحدة رعاية ما بعد التخدير، كان متوسط ضغط الدم الشرياني أقل بشكل ملحوظ في المجموعة BF، تم دمج بوبيفاكين مع كتلة طائرة الفنتانيل المستعرض البطنني، مقارنة بمجموعة بوبيفاكين فقط. وفي التسجيلات المتسلسلة الفرعية، لم يكن هناك فرق ذو دلالة إحصائية بين المجموعتين، وكان معدل ضربات القلب الأساسي قابلاً للمقارنة بين مجموعتي الدراسة مع عدم وجود فرق معتد به إحصائياً. وتم تقييم الألم بعد الجراحة من خلال تقييم متوسط درجة المقياس التناظري البصري، في وحدة رعاية ما بعد التخدير، 0.5، 1، 2، 4، 6، 8، 12، 24 ساعة بعد الجراحة. وأشار متوسط درجات المقياس التناظري البصري إلى انخفاض كبير خلال وقت الاسترداد في (مجموعة ب)، في 2 و 6 ساعات بعد الجراحة. ومع ذلك، لم يلاحظ أي فرق كبير في متوسط شدة الألم بين المجموعتين في أوقات أخرى.

الاستنتاج: إضافة الفنتانيل كعامل مساعد إلى بوبيفاكين في إغلاق مستوي عضلة البطن المستعرضة علي الجانبين بالموجات فوق الصوتية يقلل من درجات الألم بعد الجراحة، ويطيل من وقت أول مسكن للإنقاذ، ويقلل من استهلاك النالوفين بعد الجراحة.

الكلمات الدالة: بوبيفاكين، بوبيفاكين مع فينتانيل، عضلة البطن المستعرضة علي الجانبين، الولادة القيصرية.